

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/645,227	0/645,227 08/21/2003		Hisatsugu Naito	1232-5117	7139	
27123	7590	06/23/2006		EXAMINER		
MORGAN 3 WORLD F		GAN, L.L.P.	HUFFMAN, JULIAN D			
NEW YORK				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2853	2853	
			DATE MAILED: 06/23/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/645,22	7	NAITO, HISATSUGU					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Julian D. H		2853					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <i>12 April 2006</i> .							
·	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.								
3)🖂	Since this application is in condition for	allowance except f	or formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is				
•	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-16</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)🛛)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-16</u> is/are allowed.								
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers								
9)🖂	The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 August 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 									
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 									
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachmen	t(s)		_						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	0.49)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date									

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 12 April 2006, regarding the objection to the specification, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant states that the claimed subject matter finds support in the specification. The examiner agrees that the specification satisfies the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112 1st. paragraph. Applicant's further citation and discussion of *In re Wilder* is noted. However, Wilder is concerned with a rejection under 112 1st. paragraph, and since no such rejection has been made in the present application, Wilder is not germane to the issues of the present application. Applicant's reference to page 9, lines 7-14 of the specification is noted, however, this portion of the specification does not discuss the control means controlling using the predetermined speed. Applicant's further reference to the drawings is noted, however, the drawings cannot provide antecedent basis in the specification for the claim terms. It is noted that the drawings were never objected to for failure to depict claimed subject matter. Rather, applicant must merely amend the specification to conform with the claims without introducing new matter. Such an amendment would overcome the present objection.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1 and 3-16 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/645,227 Page 3

Art Unit: 2853

Conclusion

This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal

matters:

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for

the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction

of the following is required: The specification does not provide antecedent basis for the

terms predetermined speed and predetermined position, as they are used in the claims.

The specification refers to ideal speed and ideal position. The examiner recognizes that

the ideal speed and position are in fact predetermined values, and thus the specification

is enabling, however, the specification does not use the terms predetermined speed and

predetermined position as they are used in the claims.

.Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex

parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO**

MONTHS from the mailing date of this letter.

Application/Control Number: 10/645,227 Page 4

Art Unit: 2853

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julian D. Huffman whose telephone number is (571) 272-2147. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:00a.m.-6:30p.m. Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Meier can be reached on (571) 272-2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Julian D. Huffman 21 June 2006

STEPHEN MEIER SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER